right of the accused, because a large number of accused, when they are tried for the offense after having spent months in jail waiting trial, the issue is a complete nullity because the court ends up giving them time served if they are guilty and if they are not guilty they have been punished anyway.

This issue is far more important in our modernday context of criminal justice than the old-fashioned and traditional rights which, without dissent and by acclamation we agreed to put in the Constitution.

We don't need a right to counsel. The system of justice will take care of that. We don't need a public trial. I am sure we will have public trials day in and day out, whether or not the Constitution calls for them or not. We definitely need a principle in the Constitution that entitles the presumption of innocence to have meaning and which states that people cannot be punished until and unless they have been found guilty under the elaborate procedures which we, without argument, state belong in the Constitution.

I would point out we have had experience in the